

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 7, 8, 10, 11 and 13-15 are amended herein.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-17 are currently pending and under consideration in the present application.

II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 7, 10 AND 13 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

Claims 7, 10 and 13 are amended herein to overcome the rejection.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

III. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1, 3, 12, 13, 15 AND 16 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(B) AS BEING ANTICIPATED BY USUKI ET AL. (USP# 5,774,096)

The present invention as recited in claim 1, for example, relates to a wearable display apparatus comprising "display units respectively display-processing image information inputted to an area within a display region corresponding to the view display position adjustment information."

Usuki discloses a head mounted display. In column 19, lines 45-51, Usuki discloses that the image control device 800 processes picture signals, outputs the picture signals to the image display units 850 and outputs signals for adjusting the position of the display units, which controls the linear and swiveling movement of the image display units 850. The linear movement of the image display units 850 means a linear movement of the right and left units moving closer to each other or moving away from each other, and the swiveling movement of the image display units means a movement of adjusting the angle formed by the optical axes of the image display units (see column 19, lines 56-61). Further, Usuki discloses that it is possible to automatically change the angle formed by the optical axes of both display units according to picture signals (see column 28, lines 12-28).

I However, Usuki does not disclose the features recited in claim 1 of the present invention. Moreover, the teachings of Usuki are the same as the prior art teachings disclosed in paragraph 0003, on page 3 of the Applicant's specification. Similar to the prior art, Usuki

teaches that the mechanical movement of the display units is adjusted according to an interpupillary distance of a particular user's eyes, which is not the same adjusting an image display position according to a user's interpupillary distance. Thus, Usuki fails to disclose that the display units respectively display-process image information corresponding to view display position adjustment information as recited in claim 1 of the present invention.

Similar to claim 1, claim 12 recites "display-processing image information inputted to an

area within a display region corresponding to the view display position adjustment information," and claim 15 recites "display units display-processing image information inputted to an area within a display region corresponding to a view display position adjustment information."

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Usuki also does not disclose the features recited in claims 12 and 15 of the present invention.

Claims 3, 13 and 16 depend from claims 1, 12 and 15, respectively. Therefore, for at least the reason the claims 1, 12 and 15 distinguish over the cited prior art, it is respectfully submitted that claims 3, 13 and 16 also distinguish over the cited prior art.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

IV. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 2, 4-11, 14 AND 17 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(A)

The comments in section III above also apply here because claims 2 and 4-11, claim 14, and claim 17 depend from claims 1, 12 and 15, respectively. Therefore, for at least the reason that claims 1, 12 and 15 distinguish over the cited prior art, it is respectfully submitted that claims 2, 4-11, 14 and 17 also distinguish over the cited prior art.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

V. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that each of the claims patentably distinguishes over the prior art, and therefore defines allowable subject matter. A prompt and favorable reconsideration of the rejection along with an indication of allowability of all pending claims are therefore respectfully requested.